

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/941,760	08/30/2001		Philip J. Ireland	M4065.0143/P143-A	7179
24998	7590	05/03/2004		EXAMINER	
DICKSTE	N SHAPI	RO MORIN & OS	DUDA, KATHLEEN		
2101 L STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20037-1526				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	1011, DC	2003, 1320		1756	

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	
·	Application No.	plicant(s)
	09/941,760	IRELAND ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kathleen Duda	1756
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed  s will be considered timety. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 32, 34, 36-48, 50,51 and 58-62 is/are  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 32,34,36-48,50,51 and 58-62 is/are re  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
•	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 💹 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/941,760

Art Unit: 1756

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 32, 34, 36-48, 50, 51 and 58-62 are pending in this application. Claims 33, 35, 49 and 52-57 have been cancelled.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 32, 34, 36-38 and 58-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Blatchford (US Patent 6,200,734).

For the discussion of this rejection see paper number 10, paragraph 6.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a

Application/Control Number: 09/941,760

Art Unit: 1756

whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blatchford.

For the discussion of this rejection see paper number 10, paragraph 11.

6. Claims 40-45, 47 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukuda (US Patent 6,255,151).

For the discussion of this rejection see paper number 10, paragraph 13.

7. Claim 46 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blatchford in view of Fukuda as applied to claim 45 above, and further in view of Chen (US Patent 6,140,179).

For the discussion of this rejection see paper number 10, paragraph 15.

8. Claim 50 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blatchford in view of Kim (US Patent 6,258,691) and Fukuda.

Application/Control Number: 09/941,760 Page 4

Art Unit: 1756

For the discussion of this rejection see paper number 10, paragraph 17.

9. Claim 51 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blatchford in view of Fukuda and Podlesny (US Patent 5,724,299).

For the discussion of this rejection see paper number 10, paragraph 19.

## Response to Amendment

10. It is the Examiner's position that the antireflective coatings <u>13</u> and <u>14</u> of Blatchford correspond to the first and second antireflective coatings (ARCs) of the claimed process. Applicant argues that if that is so then Blatchford does not teach "all sources of reflected radiation which reside at or below the second interface substantially mutually cancel when combined" and that Blatchford addresses a different function. It is the Examiner's position that the two ARCs will function in the same manner as the claimed process. The purpose of the ARCs is to lead to cancellation of the reflected radiation so that the radiation does not stray into the overlying layers and cause spurious irradiation which would lead to an unintended irradiation.

The rejection of claims 32, 34 and 60-62 made in paragraphs 7 and 8 of paper number 10 have been overcome by the amendment to the claims

Application/Control Number: 09/941,760

Art Unit: 1756

reciting that the ARCs are in contact with one another. The ARCs in Ohta are separated by other layers.

In regards to the 35 USC 103 rejections using combined references, Applicant argues that the combinations are improper and the references do not teach all components of the claimed process. The prior art used in the rejections all teach devices such as those claimed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have looked at the prior art for the electrical devices for the claimed process.

### Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/941,760

Art Unit: 1756

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner K. Duda at (571) 272-1383. Official FAX communications should be sent to (703) 872-9306.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff, can be reached at 571-272-1385.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kathleen Duda Primary Examiner Art Unit 1756